

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/683,713	02/06/2002	Hilmar Gugel	21295-40	8638
21710	7590 03/06/2003			
BROWN, RUDNICK, BERLACK & ISRAELS, LLP.			EXAMINER	
BOX IP, 18TH FLOOR ONE FINANCIAL CENTER			NGUYEN, THONG Q	
BOSTON, MA 02111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2872	9
			DATE MAILED: 03/06/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, - 1			& _			
Advisory Action		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/683,713	GUGEL ET AL.			
	•	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Thong Q. Nguyen	2872			
The MAI	LING DATE of this communication appo	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspond nce address			
Therefore, further final rejection unde condition for allow	D 19 February 2003 FAILS TO PLAC action by the applicant is required to a er 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (ance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apperais in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appliced in a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a chiphaces the application in			
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY (check either a) or b)]				
· · ·	for reply expires <u>THREE</u> months from the mailing	-				
event, howev ONLY CHEC 706.07(f). Extensions of time have been filed is the da	or reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adver, will the statutory period for reply expire later the CK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date for purposes of determining the period of externance with the period of externance will be considered as the constant of the constant of the period of externance will be considered as the constant of the	nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 asion and the corresponding amount of the	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under			
(b) above, if checked. A	lated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene Any reply received by the Office later than three me stment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
	Appeal was filed on Appellant 92(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	·				
2. The propose	ed amendment(s) will not be entered b	pecause:				
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) 🗌 they pr	resent additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE:	See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's r	reply has overcome the following rejec	ction(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) all	Claim(s) allowed: None.					
• •	Claim(s) objected to: None.					
• •	jected: <u>1, 3-6, <i>and</i> 8-35</u> .					
	thdrawn from consideration:					
	Continuation Sheet	, , (7)	Thong Q. Nguyen Primary Examiner			
S. Patent and Trademark Office			Art Unit: 2872			



Continuation of 2. NOTE: the materials which are newly-added to claim 1 raise new issue that require further consideration and search.

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant has failed to file a CLEAN copy of the amended claim 1. However, in the spirit of cooperation, the amendment has been considered and has resulted as shown in this Advisory action..